# TECHNICAL REPORT ON JOINT MMSE CONSENSUS AND RELAY SELECTION ALGORITHMS FOR DISTRIBUTED BEAMFORMING

Hang Ruan \* and Rodrigo C. de Lamare\*#

\*Department of Electronics, The University of York, England, YO10 5BB #CETUC, Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Emails: hr648@york.ac.uk, delamare@cetuc.puc-rio.br \*

# ABSTRACT

This work presents joint minimum mean-square error (MMSE) consensus algorithm and relay selection algorithms for distributed beamforming. We propose joint MMSE consensus relay and selection schemes with a total power constraint and local communications among the relays for a network with cooperating sensors. We also devise greedy relay selection algorithms based on the MMSE consensus approach that optimize the network performance. Simulation results show that the proposed scheme and algorithms outperform existing techniques for distributed beamforming.

*Index Terms*— Distributed beamforming, relay selection, consensus algorithms.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Distributed beamforming has been widely investigated in wireless communications in recent years [1, 2, 3, 15]. It is key for situations in which the channels between the sources and the destination have poor quality so that devices cannot communicate directly and the destination relies on relays that receive and forward the signals [2]. The work in [3] formulates an optimization problem that maximizes the output signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) under the individual relay power constraints. The approach in [7] proposes an MMSE consensus cooperative relay networking scheme to exchange data among all the relays under a total power constraint, which limits the total power of all relays regardless of the power allocation. While local communications among the relays are enabled, the ability to mitigate fading effects in wireless channels of the network can be improved [8]. Further earlier works in [12] and [13] explored local communications, while avoiding network centralized processing, which is not desirable and always comes along with the use of total power constraints [7].

However, in most scenarios relays are either not ideally distributed in terms of locations or the channels involved with some of the relays have poor quality. Possible solutions can be categorized in two approaches. One is to adaptively adjust the power of each relay according to the qualities of its associated channels, known as adaptive power control or power allocation. Some power control methods based on channel magnitude and relative analysis has been studied in [6, 14]. An alternative solution is to use relay selection, which selects a number of relays according to a criterion of interest while discarding the remaining relays. In [4], multi-relay selections algorithm have been developed to maximize the secondary receiver in a two-hop cognitive relay network. In [8], several optimum singlerelay selection schemes and a multi-relay selection scheme using relay ordering based on maximizing the output SNR under individual relay power constraints are developed and discussed. The work in [9] proposed a low-cost greedy search method for the uplink of cooperative direct sequence code-division multiple access systems, which approaches the performance of an exhaustive search. Other approaches include the use of subspace techniques [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 135, 37, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 137, 33, 34, 35, 36, 47, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 49, 46, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 196, 68, 69, 70, 71]. and large sensor arrays [197, 198, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 99, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 95, 96, 97, 98, 100, 101],[174, 161, 179, 176, 164, 107, 108, 138, 163, 131, 112, 138, 114, 193, 116, 117, 133].

In this work, we propose a joint minimum mean-square error (MMSE) consensus and relay selection approach and develop iterative greedy search based relay selection algorithms for distributed beamforming. In the first proposed algorithm we aim to find largest minimum value of a cost function regarding the desired signal by enabling only one relay at once, testing through all the relays one by one, then we select all the disabled relays when the network has the largest MMSE (LMMSE) value in each iteration, namely, the SMMSE consensus greedy (LMMSEC-G) relay selection algorithm. In the second proposed approach, we aim to find the smallest minimum value of the same cost function, by disabling only one relay at once, testing through all the relays one by one, then preserve all the selected relays when the network has the smallest MMSE (SMMSE) estimate in each iteration, namely, the the SMMSE consensus greedy (SMMSEC-G) relay selection algorithm. We compare the proposed greedy relay selection algorithms to the exhaustive search and the scenario without relay selection, showing their excellent output SINR performance which is close to the exhaustive search approach.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the system model is introduced. In Section 3, the joint MMSE consensus and relay selection scheme is presented. In Section 4, the greedy relay selection algorithms are proposed. In Section 5, simulations are presented and discussed. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section 6.

## 2. SYSTEM MODEL

We consider a wireless communication network consisting of K signal sources (one desired signal with the others as interferers), M distributed single-antenna relays and a destination. It is assumed that the quality of the channels between the signal sources and the destination is very poor so that direct communications is not possible and their links are negligible. The M relays receive information transmitted by the signal sources and then retransmit to the destination as a beamforming procedure, in which a two-step amplify-and-forward

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported in part by The University of York

(AF) protocol (as shown in Fig. 1) is considered as required for cooperative communications.



In the first step, the sources transmit the signals to the relays as

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{s} + \boldsymbol{\nu},\tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{s} = [s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_K] \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times K}$  are signal sources with zero mean,  $[.]^T$  denotes the transpose,  $s_k = \sqrt{P_k s}$ ,  $E[|s|^2] = 1$ ,  $P_k$  is the transmit power of the *k*th signal source,  $k = 1, 2, \cdots, K$ , *s* is the information symbol. Without loss of generality we can assume  $s_1$  as the desired signal while the others are treated as interferers.  $\mathbf{F} =$  $[\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{f}_K] \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times K}$  is the channel matrix between the signal sources and the relays,  $\mathbf{f}_k = [f_{1,k}, f_{2,k}, \cdots, f_{M,k}]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$ ,  $f_{m,k}$  denotes the channel between the *m*th relay and the *k*th source  $(m = 1, 2, \cdots, M, k = 1, 2, \cdots, K)$ .  $\boldsymbol{\nu} = [\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_M]^T \in$  $\mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$  is the complex Gaussian noise vector at the relays and  $\sigma_{\nu}^2$ is the noise variance at each relay  $(\nu_m ~ \mathcal{C}N(0, \sigma_{\nu}^2))$ . The vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$  represents the received data at the relays. In the second step, the relays transmit  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$  which is an amplified and phase-steered version of  $\mathbf{x}$ , which can be written as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x},\tag{2}$$

where  $\mathbf{W} = \text{diag}[w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_M] \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$  is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries denote the beamforming weights. The signal received at the destination is given by

$$z = \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{y} + n, \tag{3}$$

where z is a scalar,  $\mathbf{g} = [g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_M]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$  is the complex Gaussian channel vector between the relays and the destination, n  $(n \, \tilde{c} N(0, \sigma_n^2), \sigma_n^2 = \sigma_{\nu}^2)$  is the noise at the destination and z is the received signal at the destination.

Note that both  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{g}$  are modeled as Rayleigh distributed (i.e., both the real and imaginary coefficients of the channel parameters have Gaussian distribution). Using the Rayleigh distribution for the channels, we also consider distance based large-scale channel propagation effects that include distance-based fading (or path loss) and shadowing. Distance-based fading represents how a signal is attenuated as a function of the distance and can be highly affected by the environment. [10, 11] An exponential based path loss model can be described by

$$\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{d^{\rho}}},\tag{4}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the distance based path loss, L is the known path loss at the destination, d is the distance of interest relative to the destination and  $\rho$  is the path loss exponent, which can vary due to different environments and is typically set within 2 to 5, with a lower value representing a clear and uncluttered environment which has a slow attenuation and a higher value describing a cluttered and highly attenuating environment. Shadow fading describes the phenomenon where objects can obstruct the propagation of the signal attenuating the signal further, and can be modeled as a random variable with probability distribution given by

$$\beta = 10^{\left(\frac{\sigma_s \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{10}\right)},\tag{5}$$

where  $\beta$  is the shadowing parameter,  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  means the Gaussian distribution with zero mean and unit variance,  $\sigma_s$  is the shadowing spread in dB. The shadowing spread reflects the severity of the attenuation caused by shadowing, and is typically given between 0dB to 9dB. The channels modeled with both path-loss and shadowing are described by

$$\mathbf{F} = \gamma \beta \mathbf{F}_0, \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbf{g} = \gamma \beta \mathbf{g}_0,\tag{7}$$

where  $\mathbf{F}_0$  and  $\mathbf{g}_0$  denote the Rayleigh distributed channels without path-loss and shadowing [10, 11].

## 3. PROPOSED JOINT MMSE CONSENSUS AND RELAY SELECTION

In this section, we detail the proposed joint MMSE consensus and relay selection scheme for distributed beamforming using an alternating optimization approach in which the relay selection is followed by MMSE consensus of beamformers. We assume at the *m*th relay the MMSE estimate of the desired signal  $\hat{s}_{m,1}$  can be found as

$$\hat{s}_{m,1} = \phi_m x_m, \tag{8}$$

where

1

 $\alpha$ 

$$\phi_m = \arg\min_{\phi} E[|s_1 - \phi x_m|^2] = \frac{f_{m,1}^* P_1}{\sum_{k=1}^K |f_{m,k}|^2 P_k + \sigma_n^2}$$

For convenience we define  $\tilde{s}_{m,1} = \frac{\tilde{s}_{m,1}}{E[|\tilde{s}_{m,1}|^2]}$  and the normalized relay weight as  $\frac{w_m \phi_m}{E[|\tilde{s}_{m,1}|^2]}$ , so that the total transmission power can be expressed as  $\sum_{m=1}^{M} E[|w_m \tilde{s}_{m,1}|^2] = \sum_{m=1}^{M} |w_m|^2$ . Therefore, the MMSE consensus optimization associated with a fixed set of relays under a total power constraint is given by

$$w_{m}^{*} = \arg\min_{w_{m}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} E[|s_{1} - g_{m}w_{m}\tilde{s}_{m,1}|^{2}]$$

$$s.t. \sum_{m=1}^{M} |w_{m}|^{2} \leq P_{T},$$
(9)

where  $P_T$  is the maximum allowable total transmit power of all relays. The relay selection problem for the MMSE consensus can be described as an optimization problem using a total relay transmit power constraint described by

$$S_{\text{opt}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{w}}{\operatorname{arg min}} MMSE(S)$$

$$s.t. \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_m |w_m|^2 \le P_T,$$

$$m \in \{0, 1\}, m = 1, 2, \cdots, M$$
(10)

where  $MMSE(S) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_m E[|s_1 - g_m w_m \tilde{s}_{m,1}|^2]$ ,  $\mathbf{w} = [w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_M]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$ ,  $S_{\text{opt}}$  and S are the optimum relay set of size  $M_{\text{opt}}$ ,  $(1 \le M_{\text{opt}} \le M)$  and the original relay set of size M, respectively. The vector  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_M]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times 1}$ ,  $\alpha_m$   $(m = 1, \cdots, M)$  is the relay cooperation parameter vector which determines if the *m*th relay will cooperate. The solution of (10) regarding  $w_m$  indicates the following relationship:

$$w_m^* = \frac{\alpha_m g_m^*}{\lambda + \alpha_m |g_m|^2} \sqrt{\frac{|f_{m,1}|^2 P_1^2}{\sum_{k=1}^K |f_{m,k}|^2 P_k + \sigma_n^2}},$$
 (11)

where  $\lambda$  is the Langrange multiplier, which can be determined by enabling the local communication of the relays with an MMSE consensus approach. To this end, we employ an auxiliary beamforming weight vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_m = [\tilde{w}_{1,m}, \cdots, \tilde{w}_{M,m}]^T$  and consider the following joint optimization problem:

$$\min_{\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_m, \mathbf{\alpha}} \alpha_m E[|s_1 - g_m w_m \tilde{s}_{m,1}|^2]$$
  
s.t.  $||\mathbf{\alpha}||_1 ||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_m||^2 \le P_T, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_m = \mathbf{w},$   
 $\alpha_m \in \{0, 1\}, m = 1, 2, \cdots, M$  (12)

where  $\mathbf{w} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{W}) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$ . It is supposed that the *m*th relay is connected to a subset of relays denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_m$ . The second constraint in (12) can be replaced by  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_m = \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_q, q \in \mathcal{M}_m$  so that (12) is reformulated as

$$\min_{\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{m},\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \alpha_{m} E[|s_{1} - g_{m} w_{m} \tilde{s}_{m,1}|^{2}] + \lambda_{m}(i)(||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_{1}||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{m}||^{2} - P_{T}) + \sum_{q \in \mathcal{M}_{m}} \tau_{m,q}^{T}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{m} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{q}),$$
(13)

where  $\lambda_m(i)$  and  $\tau_{m,q}$  are Lagrange multipliers. The proposed algorithmic solution relies on the alternating optimization associated with relay selection, computation of the optimal weights and Lagrange multipliers at the *m*th relay as

$$\tilde{w}_{t,m} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_m g_m^*}{\lambda_m(i) + \alpha_m |g_m|^2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{|f_m, 1|^2 P_1^2}{\sum_{k=1}^K |f_m, k|^2 P_k + \sigma_n^2}} - \frac{\sum_{q \in \mathcal{M}_m} \tau_{m,q;m}}{2} \right); \\ \text{if } t = m \\ -\frac{\alpha_m \sum_{q \in \mathcal{M}_m} \tau_{m,q;t}}{2\lambda_m(i)}, \\ \text{if } t \neq m \end{cases}$$
(14)

where  $\tau_{m,q;t}$  denotes the *t*th element of  $\tau_{m,q}$ . The Lagrange multipliers are updated as follows

$$\lambda_m(i) = |\lambda_m(i-1) + \mu_\lambda(||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_m||^2 - P_T)|, \qquad (15)$$

$$\tau_{m,q}(i) = \tau_{m,q}(i-1) + \mu_{\tau}(\mathbf{u}_m - \mathbf{u}_q), \tag{16}$$

where  $\mu_{\lambda}$  and  $\mu_{\tau}$  are step sizes with small positive values,  $\mathbf{u}_m = [|w_{1,m}|, \cdots, |w_{M,m}|]^T$  and *i* is the time index [7].

# 4. PROPOSED GREEDY RELAY SELECTION ALGORITHMS

In this section, we detail the algorithms that perform relay selection, develop the LMMSEC-G and SMMSEC-G relay selection algorithms and review the exhaustive search.

#### Table 1. LMMSEC-G relay selection algorithm

Initialize  $S_{opt} = S(0), \alpha = 1, \tilde{w}_m = 1$ ,  $\lambda_m(0) = 1, \tau_{m,q}(0) = 1$  and compute  $MMSE_o = MMSE(0)$ . for  $i = 1, \cdots, M - M_{min}$ step 1: compute  $\tau_{m,q}(i) = \tau_{m,q}(i-1) + \mu_{\tau}(\mathbf{u}_m - \mathbf{u}_q),$ and  $\tau_{m,q}(i) = \tau_{m,q}(i-1) + \mu_{\tau}(\mathbf{u}_m - \mathbf{u}_q).$ step 2: compute the consensus weight using (14). step 3: solve the optimization problem (17) and obtain  $\overline{S}(i)$ . compute S(i) using S(i-1)- $\overline{S}(i)$ . compute MMSE(i) using S(i). compare MMSE(i) to MMSE(i)(i-1), if MMSE(i) < MMSE(i-1)update  $S_{opt} = S(i)$  and  $MMSE_o = MMSE(i)$ . update  $\alpha(i)$ . else keep  $S_{opt} = S(i-1)$  and  $MMSE_o = MMSE(i-1)$ . break. end if. end for.

#### 4.1. LMMSEC-G relay selection algorithm

We develop the LMMSEC-G algorithm to obtain the solution of (12), which depends on the relay selection parameter vector  $\alpha$  to find the optimum  $\alpha$ . The LMMSEC-G works in an iterative way and discards only the worst relay to find the optimal relay set in each iteration. Additionally, the parameter  $M_{min}$  can be introduced to restrict the minimum number of relays that must be used. The LMMSEC-G algorithm finds the largest MMSE at each iteration and selects the complementary relays, which is described as follows

$$\bar{\mathcal{S}}(i) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(i)}{\arg \max} \quad MMSE(\mathcal{S}(i-1))$$
s.t.  $||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{m}(i)||^{2} = \leq P_{T}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{m}(i) = \mathbf{w}(i),$ 
 $\alpha_{m}(i) \in \{0, 1\}, m = 1, 2, \cdots, M$ 
 $M - i \geq M_{min},$ 
(17)

where  $\bar{S}(i)$  denotes the complement of the set S(i) from set S(i-1). The optimization problem compares all the MMSE values assuming that only one different single relay is enabled while the others are disabled. LMMSEC-G cancels the relay with largest MMSE value from set S(i-1) and evaluates the MMSE performance of the remaining relays, which is solved only once in each iteration. If the MMSE in the current iteration is smaller than that in the previous iteration (i.e. MMSE(i) < MMSE(i-1)), then the selection process continues; if  $MMSE(i) \ge MMSE(i-1)$ , we cancel the selection of the current iteration and keep the relay set S(i-1) and MMSE(i-1). The LMMSEC-G algorithm is shown in Table. 1.

#### 4.2. SMMSEC-G relay selection algorithm

The proposed SMMSEC-G algorithm is an alternative way to find the solution of (12) regarding  $\alpha$ , which aims to find the smallest MMSE from the remaining relays after disabling a single relay each time. It is an improved greedy search based method which also Initialize  $S_{opt} = S(0), \alpha = 1, \tilde{w}_m = 1$ ,  $\lambda_m(0) = 1, \tau_{m,q}(0) = 1$  and compute  $MMSE_o = MMSE(0)$ . for  $i = 1, \cdots, M - M_{min}$ step 1: compute  $\tau_{m,q}(i) = \tau_{m,q}(i-1) + \mu_{\tau}(\mathbf{u}_m - \mathbf{u}_q),$ and  $\tau_{m,q}(i) = \tau_{m,q}(i-1) + \mu_{\tau}(\mathbf{u}_m - \mathbf{u}_q).$ step 2: compute the consensus weight using (14). step 3: solve the optimization problem (18) and obtain S(i). compute MMSE(i) using  $\mathcal{S}(i)$ . compare MMSE(i) to MMSE(i-1), if MMSE(i) < MMSE(i-1)update  $S_{opt} = S(i)$  and  $MMSE_o = MMSE(i)$ . update  $\alpha(i)$ . else keep  $S_{opt} = S(i-1)$  and  $MMSE_o = MMSE(i-1)$ . break. end if. end for.

works in iterations but with higher complexity and much better performance. We also consider  $M_{min}$  as a restriction to the minimum number of relays that must be used. Before the first iteration all relays are considered (i.e., S(0) = S). Consequently, we solve the following problem once for each iteration in order to cancel the relay with worst performance from set S(i - 1) and evaluate the MMSE(i) at time instant i:

$$\mathcal{S}(i) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(i)}{\arg\min} \ MMSE(\mathcal{S}(i-1))$$
  
s.t.  $(M-i)||\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_m(i)||^2 \leq P_T, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_m(i) = \mathbf{w}(i),$   
 $\alpha_m(i) \in \{0,1\}, m = 1, 2, \cdots, M$   
 $M-i > M_{min},$   
(18)

If the MMSE in the current iteration is lower than that in the previous iteration (i.e. MMSE(i) < MMSE(i-1)), then the selection process continues; if  $MMSE(i) \ge MMSE(i-1)$ , we cancel the selection of the current iteration and keep the relay set S(i-1) and MMSE(i-1). The SMMSEC-G algorithm is shown in Table. 2.

#### 4.3. Exhaustive Search

In an exhaustive search procedure, we test every possible combinations among all the relays, which means the change of status if a relay is chosen or not will contribute to a different possible combination. To obtain the global optimum solution, we need to run the consensus algorithm once without iterations. Also, we can predefine  $M_{fix}$  as the required selected number of relays as an additional requirement. However, the complexity can be extremely high depending on the number of relays.

#### 5. SIMULATIONS

In the simulations we focus on the output SINR performance comparison of the proposed LMMSEC-G and SMMSEC-G algorithms

by varying the input SNR or the total number of relays in the network. The parameters used include: number of signal sources K =3, the path loss exponent  $\rho = 2$ , the power path loss from signals to the destination L = 10 dB, shadowing spread  $\sigma_s = 3$  dB,  $P_T = 1$ dBW,  $M_{min} = 1$ . For the local communication between the relays, we set  $M_m = \{m+1\}$  and  $M = 1, \mu_{\lambda} = \mu_{\tau} = 0.001.100$ repetitions are executed for each of the studied methods. In Fig. 2, we fixed the total number of relays M = 5 and interference-to-noise ratio (INR) at 10dB and evaluate the SINR versus SNR performance of the joint MMSE consensus and relay selection approaches and the existing techniques. Both the greedy search based methods, namely, LMMSEC-G and SMMSEC-G, increase the SINR performance as compared with the case without any relay selection and approach the exhaustive search especially at low SNRs. Fig. 3 illustrates that with a fixed SNR(0dB) and INR(0dB) how the output SINR varies when the total number of relays in the network increases. It is clear that using more relays enhance the overall network performance and the SMMSEC-G method performs very close to the exhaustive search. The proposed techniques could also be evaluated in terms of BER performance [90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 174, 163, 131, 138, 114, 193, 116, 117, 133, 199].



Fig. 2. SINR performance versus SNR



Fig. 3. SINR performance versus M

#### 6. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a joint MMSE consensus and relay selection approach and developed efficient algorithms for distributed beamforming. We have proposed the LMMSEC-G and SMMSEC-G greedy optimization algorithms based on the MMSE criterion with known network quantities and relay selection strategies, which determines if a relay should cooperate or not in the network. The LMMSEC-G and SMMSEC-G algorithms have shown excellent performance and outperformed previously reported techniques.

#### 7. REFERENCES

- R. Mudumbai, D.R. Brown III, U. Madhow, and H.V. Poor, "Distributed Transmit Beamforming Challenges and Recent Progress," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, Vol. 47, Issue. 2, pp. 102-110, 2009.
- [2] A. B. Gershman, N. D. Sidiropoulos, S. Shahbazpanahi, M. Bengtsson, and B. Ottersten, "Convex Optimization-Based Beamforming," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, Vol. 27, Issue. 3, pp. 62-75, May 2010.
- [3] J. Uher, T. A. Wysocki, and B. J. Wysocki, "Review of Distributed Beamforming," *Journal of Telecommunications and Information Technology*, 2011.
- [4] J. Xu, H. Zhang, D. Yuan, Q. Jin, and C. Wang, "Novel Multiple Relay Selection Schemes in Two-Hop Cognitive Relay Networks," *Third International Conference on Communications and Mobile Computing*, pp 207-310, April 2011.
- [5] L. Landau, "Advanced Robust Adaptive Beamforming for Wireless Networks," *Master Thesis, Technische Universitat Ilmenau Fakultat* fur Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik, May 2011.
- [6] Y. Jing and H. Jafarkhani, "Network Beamforming Using Relays With Perfect Channel Information," *IEEE Transactions on Information The*ory, VOL. 55, NO. 6, pp. 2499-2517, June 2009.
- [7] J. Choi, "Distributed Beamforming Using a Consensus Algorithm for Cooperative Relay Networks," *IEEE Communication Letters*, VOL. 15, Issue 4, pp. 368-370, April 2011.
- [8] Y. Jing, and H. Jafarkhani, "Single and Multiple Relay Selection Schemes and their Achievable Diversity Orders," *IEEE Transactions* on Wireless Communications, VOL. 8, NO. 3, pp. 1414-1423, March 2009.
- [9] J. Gu and R. C. de Lamare, "Joint PIC and Relay Selection Based on Greedy Techniques for Cooperative DS-CDMA Systems," *Proc. of International Conference on Speech Acoustics and Signal Processing*, pp 2754-2758, 4-9 May 2014.
- [10] T. Hesketh, R, C. de Lamare and S. Wales, "Joint maximum likelihood detection and link selection for cooperative MIMO relay systems," *IET Communications*, Vol. 8, Issue 14, pp 2489-2499, Sep 2014.
- [11] T. J. Hesketh, "Detection and Resource Allocation Algorithms for Cooperative MIMO Relay Systems," *Ph.D. Thesis, University of York, Electronics, Communications Research Group*, Feb 2014.
- [12] B. Johannson, C. M. Carretti, and M. Johansson, "On Distributed Optimization Using peer-to-peer Communications in Wireless Sensor Networks," *Proc. IEEE SECON*, pp. 497-505, 2008.
- [13] M. G. Rabbat, R. D. Nowak, and J. A. Bucklew, "eneralized Consensus Computation in Networked Systems with Erasure Links," *Proc. IEEE SPAWC*, pp. 1088-1092, 2005.
- [14] S. Schedler and V. Kuehn, "Resource Allocation for Distributed Beamforming with Multiple Relays and Individual Power Constraints," *Proc. International Sympositum Wireless Communications Systems* (ISWCS), pp 1-5, Aug 2014.
- [15] O. T. Demir, T. E. Tuncer, "Optimum Discrete Distributed Beamforming for Single Group Multi Casting Relay Networks with Relay Selection," *ICASSP 2015*, pp 2524-2528, April 2015.

- [16] L. L. Scharf and D. W. Tufts, "Rank reduction for modeling stationary signals," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech* and Signal Processing, vol. ASSP-35, pp. 350-355, March 1987.
- [17] A. M. Haimovich and Y. Bar-Ness, "An eigenanalysis interference canceler," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol. 39, pp. 76-84, Jan. 1991.
- [18] D. A. Pados and S. N. Batalama "Joint space-time auxiliary vector filtering for DS/CDMA systems with antenna arrays" *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 47, no. 9, pp. 1406 - 1415, 1999.
- [19] J. S. Goldstein, I. S. Reed and L. L. Scharf "A multistage representation of the Wiener filter based on orthogonal projections" *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 44, no. 7, 1998.
- [20] Y. Hua, M. Nikpour and P. Stoica, "Optimal reduced rank estimation and filtering," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, pp. 457-469, Vol. 49, No. 3, March 2001.
- [21] M. L. Honig and J. S. Goldstein, "Adaptive reduced-rank interference suppression based on the multistage Wiener filter," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 50, no. 6, June 2002.
- [22] E. L. Santos and M. D. Zoltowski, "On Low Rank MVDR Beamforming using the Conjugate Gradient Algorithm", Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, 2004.
- [23] Q. Haoli and S.N. Batalama, "Data record-based criteria for the selection of an auxiliary vector estimator of the MMSE/MVDR filter", *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 51, no. 10, Oct. 2003, pp. 1700 - 1708.
- [24] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-Rank Adaptive Filtering Based on Joint Iterative Optimization of Adaptive Filters", *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, Vol. 14, no. 12, December 2007.
- [25] Z. Xu and M.K. Tsatsanis, "Blind adaptive algorithms for minimum variance CDMA receivers," *IEEE Trans. Communications*, vol. 49, No. 1, January 2001.
- [26] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Low-Complexity Variable Step-Size Mechanisms for Stochastic Gradient Algorithms in Minimum Variance CDMA Receivers", *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 54, pp. 2302 - 2317, June 2006.
- [27] C. Xu, G. Feng and K. S. Kwak, "A Modified Constrained Constant Modulus Approach to Blind Adaptive Multiuser Detection," *IEEE Trans. Communications*, vol. 49, No. 9, 2001.
- [28] Z. Xu and P. Liu, "Code-Constrained Blind Detection of CDMA Signals in Multipath Channels," *IEEE Sig. Proc. Letters*, vol. 9, No. 12, December 2002.
- [29] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio Neto, "Blind Adaptive Code-Constrained Constant Modulus Algorithms for CDMA Interference Suppression in Multipath Channels", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol 9. no. 4, April, 2005.
- [30] L. Landau, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haardt, "Robust adaptive beamforming algorithms using the constrained constant modulus criterion," IET Signal Processing, vol.8, no.5, pp.447-457, July 2014.
- [31] R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank LCMV Beamforming Algorithms Based on Joint Iterative Optimisation of Filters", *Electronics Letters*, vol. 44, no. 9, 2008.

- [32] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank Processing Based on Joint and Iterative Interpolation, Decimation and Filtering", *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 57, no. 7, July 2009, pp. 2503 - 2514.
- [33] R. C. de Lamare and Raimundo Sampaio-Neto, "Reducedrank Interference Suppression for DS-CDMA based on Interpolated FIR Filters", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 9, no. 3, March 2005.
- [34] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank MMSE Filtering with Interpolated FIR Filters and Adaptive Interpolators", *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 12, no. 3, March, 2005.
- [35] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Interference Suppression for DS-CDMA Systems based on Interpolated FIR Filters with Adaptive Interpolators in Multipath Channels", *IEEE Trans. Vehicular Technology*, Vol. 56, no. 6, September 2007.
- [36] R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank LCMV Beamforming Algorithms Based on Joint Iterative Optimisation of Filters," Electronics Letters, 2008.
- [37] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-rank adaptive filtering based on joint iterative optimization of adaptive filters", *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 980-983, Dec. 2007.
- [38] R. C. de Lamare, M. Haardt, and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Blind Adaptive Constrained Reduced-Rank Parameter Estimation based on Constant Modulus Design for CDMA Interference Suppression", *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, June 2008.
- [39] M. Yukawa, R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Efficient Acoustic Echo Cancellation With Reduced-Rank Adaptive Filtering Based on Selective Decimation and Adaptive Interpolation," IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, vol.16, no. 4, pp. 696-710, May 2008.
- [40] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-rank spacetime adaptive interference suppression with joint iterative least squares algorithms for spread-spectrum systems," *IEEE Trans. Vehi. Technol.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1217-1228, Mar. 2010.
- [41] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive reducedrank equalization algorithms based on alternating optimization design techniques for MIMO systems," *IEEE Trans. Vehi. Technol.*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 2482-2494, Jul. 2011.
- [42] R. C. de Lamare, L. Wang, and R. Fa, "Adaptive reduced-rank LCMV beamforming algorithms based on joint iterative optimization of filters: Design and analysis," Signal Processing, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 640-652, Feb. 2010.
- [43] R. Fa, R. C. de Lamare, and L. Wang, "Reduced-Rank STAP Schemes for Airborne Radar Based on Switched Joint Interpolation, Decimation and Filtering Algorithm," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol.58, no.8, Aug. 2010, pp.4182-4194.
- [44] L. Wang and R. C. de Lamare, "Low-Complexity Adaptive Step Size Constrained Constant Modulus SG Algorithms for Blind Adaptive Beamforming", *Signal Processing*, vol. 89, no. 12, December 2009, pp. 2503-2513.
- [45] L. Wang and R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Constrained Constant Modulus Algorithm Based on Auxiliary Vector Filtering for Beamforming," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 58, no. 10, pp. 5408-5413, Oct. 2010.

- [46] L. Wang, R. C. de Lamare, M. Yukawa, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank Constrained Constant Modulus Algorithms Based on Joint Iterative Optimization of Filters for Beamforming," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol.58, no.6, June 2010, pp.2983-2997.
- [47] L. Qiu, Y. Cai, R. C. de Lamare and M. Zhao, "Reduced-Rank DOA Estimation Algorithms Based on Alternating Low-Rank Decomposition," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 565-569, May 2016.
- [48] L. Wang, R. C. de Lamare and M. Yukawa, "Adaptive reducedrank constrained constant modulus algorithms based on joint iterative optimization of filters for beamforming", IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol.58, no. 6, pp. 2983-2997, June 2010.
- [49] L. Wang and R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive constrained constant modulus algorithm based on auxiliary vector filtering for beamforming", IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 58, no. 10, pp. 5408-5413, October 2010.
- [50] R. Fa and R. C. de Lamare, "Reduced-Rank STAP Algorithms using Joint Iterative Optimization of Filters," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol.47, no.3, pp.1668-1684, July 2011.
- [51] Z. Yang, R. C. de Lamare and X. Li, "L1-Regularized STAP Algorithms With a Generalized Sidelobe Canceler Architecture for Airborne Radar," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol.60, no.2, pp.674-686, Feb. 2012.
- [52] Z. Yang, R. C. de Lamare and X. Li, "Sparsity-aware spacetime adaptive processing algorithms with L1-norm regularisation for airborne radar", IET signal processing, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 413-423, 2012.
- [53] Neto, F.G.A.; Nascimento, V.H.; Zakharov, Y.V.; de Lamare, R.C., "Adaptive re-weighting homotopy for sparse beamforming," in Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), 2014 Proceedings of the 22nd European, vol., no., pp.1287-1291, 1-5 Sept. 2014
- [54] Almeida Neto, F.G.; de Lamare, R.C.; Nascimento, V.H.; Zakharov, Y.V., "Adaptive reweighting homotopy algorithms applied to beamforming," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol.51, no.3, pp.1902-1915, July 2015.
- [55] L. Wang, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haardt, "Direction finding algorithms based on joint iterative subspace optimization," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol.50, no.4, pp.2541-2553, October 2014.
- [56] S. D. Somasundaram, N. H. Parsons, P. Li and R. C. de Lamare, "Reduced-dimension robust capon beamforming using Krylov-subspace techniques," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol.51, no.1, pp.270-289, January 2015.
- [57] H. Ruan and R. C. de Lamare, "Robust adaptive beamforming using a low-complexity shrinkage-based mismatch estimation algorithm," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 21 no. 1 pp. 60-64, Nov. 2013.
- [58] H. Ruan and R. C. de Lamare, "Robust Adaptive Beamforming Based on Low-Rank and Cross-Correlation Techniques," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 64, no. 15, pp. 3919-3932, Aug. 2016.
- [59] S. Xu and R.C de Lamare, , Distributed conjugate gradient strategies for distributed estimation over sensor networks, Sensor Signal Processing for Defense SSPD, September 2012.

- [60] S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare, H. V. Poor, "Distributed Estimation Over Sensor Networks Based on Distributed Conjugate Gradient Strategies", IET Signal Processing, 2016 (to appear).
- [61] S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare and H. V. Poor, *Distributed Compressed Estimation Based on Compressive Sensing*, IEEE Signal Processing letters, vol. 22, no. 9, September 2014.
- [62] S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare and H. V. Poor, "Distributed reducedrank estimation based on joint iterative optimization in sensor networks," in Proceedings of the 22nd European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), pp.2360-2364, 1-5, Sept. 2014
- [63] S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare and H. V. Poor, "Adaptive link selection strategies for distributed estimation in diffusion wireless networks," in Proc. IEEE International Conference onAcoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), , vol., no., pp.5402-5405, 26-31 May 2013.
- [64] S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare and H. V. Poor, "Dynamic topology adaptation for distributed estimation in smart grids," in Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing (CAMSAP), 2013 IEEE 5th International Workshop on , vol., no., pp.420-423, 15-18 Dec. 2013.
- [65] S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare and H. V. Poor, "Adaptive Link Selection Algorithms for Distributed Estimation", EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing, 2015.
- [66] T. G. Miller, S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare and H. V. Poor, "Distributed Spectrum Estimation Based on Alternating Mixed Discrete-Continuous Adaptation," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 551-555, April 2016.
- [67] N. Song, R. C. de Lamare, M. Haardt, and M. Wolf, "Adaptive Widely Linear Reduced-Rank Interference Suppression based on the Multi-Stage Wiener Filter," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 60, no. 8, 2012.
- [68] N. Song, W. U. Alokozai, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haardt, "Adaptive Widely Linear Reduced-Rank Beamforming Based on Joint Iterative Optimization," IEEE Signal Processing Letters, vol.21, no.3, pp. 265-269, March 2014.
- [69] R.C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto and M. Haardt, "Blind Adaptive Constrained Constant-Modulus Reduced-Rank Interference Suppression Algorithms Based on Interpolation and Switched Decimation," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol.59, no.2, pp.681-695, Feb. 2011.
- [70] Y. Cai, R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Linear Minimum BER Reduced-Rank Interference Suppression Algorithms Based on Joint and Iterative Optimization of Filters," IEEE Communications Letters, vol.17, no.4, pp.633-636, April 2013.
- [71] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Sparsity-Aware Adaptive Algorithms Based on Alternating Optimization and Shrinkage," IEEE Signal Processing Letters, vol.21, no.2, pp.225,229, Feb. 2014.
- [72] R. C. de Lamare, "Massive MIMO Systems: Signal Processing Challenges and Future Trends", Radio Science Bulletin, December 2013.
- [73] W. Zhang, H. Ren, C. Pan, M. Chen, R. C. de Lamare, B. Du and J. Dai, "Large-Scale Antenna Systems With UL/DL Hardware Mismatch: Achievable Rates Analysis and Calibration", IEEE Trans. Commun., vol.63, no.4, pp. 1216-1229, April 2015.

- [74] M. Costa, "Writing on dirty paper," *IEEE Trans. Inform. The*ory, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 439-441, May 1983.
- [75] R. C. de Lamare and A. Alcaim, "Strategies to improve the performance of very low bit rate speech coders and application to a 1.2 kb/s codec" IEE Proceedings- Vision, image and signal processing, vol. 152, no. 1, February, 2005.
- [76] P. Clarke and R. C. de Lamare, "Joint Transmit Diversity Optimization and Relay Selection for Multi-Relay Cooperative MIMO Systems Using Discrete Stochastic Algorithms," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol.15, no.10, pp.1035-1037, October 2011.
- [77] P. Clarke and R. C. de Lamare, "Transmit Diversity and Relay Selection Algorithms for Multirelay Cooperative MIMO Systems" *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol.61, no. 3, pp. 1084-1098, October 2011.
- [78] Y. Cai, R. C. de Lamare, and R. Fa, "Switched Interleaving Techniques with Limited Feedback for Interference Mitigation in DS-CDMA Systems," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol.59, no.7, pp.1946-1956, July 2011.
- [79] Y. Cai, R. C. de Lamare, D. Le Ruyet, "Transmit Processing Techniques Based on Switched Interleaving and Limited Feedback for Interference Mitigation in Multiantenna MC-CDMA Systems," IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol.60, no.4, pp.1559-1570, May 2011.
- [80] T. Wang, R. C. de Lamare, and P. D. Mitchell, "Low-Complexity Set-Membership Channel Estimation for Cooperative Wireless Sensor Networks," IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol.60, no.6, pp.2594-2607, July 2011.
- [81] T. Wang, R. C. de Lamare and A. Schmeink, "Joint linear receiver design and power allocation using alternating optimization algorithms for wireless sensor networks," *IEEE Trans. on Vehi. Tech.*, vol. 61, pp. 4129-4141, 2012.
- [82] R. C. de Lamare, "Joint iterative power allocation and linear interference suppression algorithms for cooperative DS-CDMA networks", IET Communications, vol. 6, no. 13, 2012, pp. 1930-1942.
- [83] T. Peng, R. C. de Lamare and A. Schmeink, "Adaptive Distributed Space-Time Coding Based on Adjustable Code Matrices for Cooperative MIMO Relaying Systems", *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 61, no. 7, July 2013.
- [84] T. Peng and R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Buffer-Aided Distributed Space-Time Coding for Cooperative Wireless Networks," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 1888-1900, May 2016.
- [85] J. Gu, R. C. de Lamare and M. Huemer, "Buffer-Aided Physical-Layer Network Coding with Optimal Linear Code Designs for Cooperative Networks," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2017.
- [86] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare, "Low-Complexity Lattice Reduction-Aided Regularized Block Diagonalization for MU-MIMO Systems", IEEE. Communications Letters, Vol. 16, No. 6, June 2012, pp. 925-928.
- [87] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare, "Low-Complexity Lattice Reduction-Aided Regularized Block Diagonalization for MU-MIMO Systems", IEEE. Communications Letters, Vol. 16, No. 6, June 2012.

- [88] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haart, "Generalized design of low-complexity block diagonalization type precoding algorithms for multiuser MIMO systems", IEEE Trans. Communications, 2013.
- [89] M. Tomlinson, "New automatic equaliser employing modulo arithmetic," *Electronic Letters*, vol. 7, Mar. 1971.
- [90] C. T. Healy and R. C. de Lamare, "Decoder-optimised progressive edge growth algorithms for the design of LDPC codes with low error floors", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 16, no. 6, June 2012, pp. 889-892.
- [91] A. G. D. Uchoa, C. T. Healy, R. C. de Lamare, R. D. Souza, "LDPC codes based on progressive edge growth techniques for block fading channels", *Proc. 8th International Symposium on Wireless Communication Systems (ISWCS)*, 2011, pp. 392-396.
- [92] A. G. D. Uchoa, C. T. Healy, R. C. de Lamare, R. D. Souza, "Generalised Quasi-Cyclic LDPC codes based on progressive edge growth techniques for block fading channels", *Proc. International Symposium Wireless Communication Systems (ISWCS)*, 2012, pp. 974-978.
- [93] A. G. D. Uchoa, C. T. Healy, R. C. de Lamare, R. D. Souza, "Design of LDPC Codes Based on Progressive Edge Growth Techniques for Block Fading Channels", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 15, no. 11, November 2011, pp. 1221-1223.
- [94] C. T. Healy and R. C. de Lamare, "Design of LDPC Codes Based on Multipath EMD Strategies for Progressive Edge Growth," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 64, no. 8, pp. 3208-3219, Aug. 2016.
- [95] H. Harashima and H. Miyakawa, "Matched-transmission technique for channels with intersymbol interference," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 20, Aug. 1972.
- [96] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haardt, "Multi-branch tomlinson-harashima precoding for single-user MIMO systems," in Smart Antennas (WSA), 2012 International ITG Workshop on, vol., no., pp.36-40, 7-8 March 2012.
- [97] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haardt, "Multi-Branch Tomlinson-Harashima Precoding Design for MU-MIMO Systems: Theory and Algorithms," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol.62, no.3, pp.939,951, March 2014.
- [98] L. Zhang, Y. Cai, R. C. de Lamare and M. Zhao, "Robust Multibranch TomlinsonHarashima Precoding Design in Amplify-and-Forward MIMO Relay Systems," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol.62, no.10, pp.3476,3490, Oct. 2014.
- [99] W. Zhang et al., "Widely Linear Precoding for Large-Scale MIMO with IQI: Algorithms and Performance Analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 3298-3312, May 2017.
- [100] B. Hochwald, C. Peel and A. Swindlehurst, "A vectorperturbation technique for near capacity multiantenna multiuser communication - Part II: Perturbation," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 53, no. 3, Mar. 2005.
- [101] C. B. Chae, S. Shim and R. W. Heath, "Block diagonalized vector perturbation for multiuser MIMO systems," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. 4051 - 4057, Nov. 2008.
- [102] R. C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive MBER decision feedback multiuser receivers in frequency selective fading channels", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 7, no. 2, Feb. 2003, pp. 73 - 75.

- [103] A. Rontogiannis, V. Kekatos, and K. Berberidis," A Square-Root Adaptive V-BLAST Algorithm for Fast Time-Varying MIMO Channels," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, Vol. 13, No. 5, pp. 265-268, May 2006.
- [104] R. C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, A. Hjorungnes, "Joint iterative interference cancellation and parameter estimation for CDMA systems", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 11, no. 12, December 2007, pp. 916 - 918.
- [105] Y. Cai and R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Space-Time Decision Feedback Detectors with Multiple Feedback Cancellation", *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 58, no. 8, October 2009, pp. 4129 - 4140.
- [106] J. W. Choi, A. C. Singer, J Lee, N. I. Cho, "Improved linear soft-input soft-output detection via soft feedback successive interference cancellation," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol.58, no.3, pp.986-996, March 2010.
- [107] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Blind adaptive MIMO receivers for space-time block-coded DS-CDMA systems in multipath channels using the constant modulus criterion," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol.58, no.1, pp.21-27, January 2010.
- [108] R. Fa, R. C. de Lamare, "Multi-Branch Successive Interference Cancellation for MIMO Spatial Multiplexing Systems", *IET Communications*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 484 - 494, March 2011.
- [109] R.C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive reducedrank equalization algorithms based on alternating optimization design techniques for MIMO systems," IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 2482-2494, July 2011.
- [110] P. Li, R. C. de Lamare and R. Fa, "Multiple Feedback Successive Interference Cancellation Detection for Multiuser MIMO Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 2434 - 2439, August 2011.
- [111] R.C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, "Minimum mean-squared error iterative successive parallel arbitrated decision feedback detectors for DS-CDMA systems," IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 56, no. 5, May 2008, pp. 778-789.
- [112] R.C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, "Minimum mean-squared error iterative successive parallel arbitrated decision feedback detectors for DS-CDMA systems," IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 56, no. 5, May 2008.
- [113] R.C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive reducedrank equalization algorithms based on alternating optimization design techniques for MIMO systems," IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 2482-2494, July 2011.
- [114] P. Li, R. C. de Lamare and J. Liu, "Adaptive Decision Feedback Detection with Parallel Interference Cancellation and Constellation Constraints for Multiuser MIMO systems", IET Communications, vol.7, 2012, pp. 538-547.
- [115] J. Liu, R. C. de Lamare, "Low-Latency Reweighted Belief Propagation Decoding for LDPC Codes," IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 1660-1663, October 2012.
- [116] P. Li and R. C. de Lamare, Distributed Iterative Detection With Reduced Message Passing for Networked MIMO Cellular Systems, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol.63, no.6, pp. 2947-2954, July 2014.
- [117] A. G. D. Uchoa, C. T. Healy and R. C. de Lamare, "Iterative Detection and Decoding Algorithms For MIMO Systems in Block-Fading Channels Using LDPC Codes," IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, 2015.

- [118] R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive and Iterative Multi-Branch MMSE Decision Feedback Detection Algorithms for Multi-Antenna Systems", *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 14, no. 10, October 2013.
- [119] R. Combes, Z. Altman and E. Altman, "Interference coordination in wireless networks: A flow-level perspective," *Proceedings IEEE INFOCOM 2013*, vol., no., pp.2841,2849, 14-19 April 2013.
- [120] R. Aggarwal, C. E. Koksal, and P. Schniter, "On the design of large scale wireless systems", IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 215-225, Feb. 2013.
- [121] C. Shepard, H. Yu, N. Anand, L. E. Li, T. L. Marzetta, R. Yang, and L. Zhong, Argos: Practical many-antenna base stations, in ACM Int. Conf.Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom), Istanbul, Turkey, Aug. 2012.
- [122] J. Hoydis, C. Hoek, T. Wild, and S. ten Brink, Channel measurements for large antenna arrays, in IEEE International Symposium on Wireless Communication Systems (ISWCS), Paris, France, Aug. 2012.
- [123] X. Gao, F. Tufvesson, O. Edfors, and F. Rusek, Measured propagation characteristics for very-large MIMO at 2.6 GHz, in Proc. of the 46th Annual Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers, Pacific Grove, California, USA, Nov. 2012.
- [124] J. Arnau, B. Devillers, C. Mosquera, A. Prez-Neira, "Performance study of multiuser interference mitigation schemes for hybrid broadband multibeam satellite architectures", EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking, 2012:132 (5 April 2012).
- [125] J. Jose, A. Ashikhmin, T. L. Marzetta, S. Vishwanath, "Pilot Contamination and Precoding in Multi-Cell TDD Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol.10, no.8, pp. 2640-2651, August 2011.
- [126] H. Q. Ngo, E. G. Larsson, and T. L. Marzetta, "Energy and spectral efficiency of very large multiuser MIMO systems", IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1436-1449, Apr. 2013.
- [127] H. Yang and T. L. Marzetta, Performance of conjugate and zero-forcing beamforming in large-scale antenna systems, IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun., vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 172179, Feb. 2013.
- [128] A. Ashikhmin and T. L. Marzetta, Pilot contamination precoding in multi-cell large scale antenna systems, in IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), Cambridge, MA, Jul. 2012.
- [129] J. Zhang, X. Yuan, and L. Ping, Hermitian precoding for distributed MIMO systems with individual channel state information, IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun., vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 241250, Feb. 2013.
- [130] K. Vardhan, S. Mohammed, A. Chockalingam and B. Rajan, "A low-complexity detector for large MIMO systems and multicarrier CDMA systems", IEEE J. Sel. Commun., vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 473-485, Apr. 2008.
- [131] R.C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, Minimum mean-squared error iterative successive parallel arbitrated decision feedback detectors for DS-CDMA systems, IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 56, no. 5, May 2008, pp. 778-789.

- [132] P. Li and R. D. Murch, Multiple Output Selection-LAS Algorithm in Large MIMO Systems, IEEE Commun. Lett., vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 399-401, May 2010.
- [133] R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive and Iterative Multi-Branch MMSE Decision Feedback Detection Algorithms for Multi-Antenna Systems", *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 14, no. 10, October 2013.
- [134] Q. Zhou and X. Ma, Element-Based Lattice Reduction Algorithms for Large MIMO Detection, IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 274-286, Feb. 2013.
- [135] H. Qian and S. N. Batalama, Data-record-based criteria for the selection of an auxiliary vector estimator of the MMSE/MVDR filter, IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 17001708, Oct. 2003.
- [136] Y. Sun, V. Tripathi, and M. L. Honig, Adaptive, iterative, reducedrank (turbo) equalization, IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 27892800, Nov. 2005.
- [137] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, Adaptive reducedrank processing based on joint and iterative interpolation, decimation, and filtering, IEEE Trans. Signal Process., vol. 57, no. 7, July 2009, pp. 2503-2514.
- [138] R.C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, Adaptive reduced-rank equalization algorithms based on alternating optimization design techniques for MIMO systems, IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 2482-2494, July 2011.
- [139] Z. Tu and R.S. Blum, Multiuser diversity for a dirty paper approach, IEEE Commun. Lett., vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 370372, Aug. 2003.
- [140] G. Dimic and N.D. Sidiropoulos, On downlink beamforming with greedy user selection: Performance analysis and a simple new algorithm, IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, vol. 53, no. 10, pp. 38573868, Oct. 2005.
- [141] Z. Shen, J.G. Andrews, R.W. Heath Jr., and B.L. Evans, Low complexity user selection algorithms for multiuser MIMO systems with block diagonalization, IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 36583663, Sept. 2006.
- [142] N. Dao and Y. Sun, "User-selection algorithms for multiuser precoding," IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., vol. 59, no. 7, Sep. 2010.
- [143] P. Clarke and R. C. de Lamare, "Transmit Diversity and Relay Selection Algorithms for Multi-relay Cooperative MIMO Systems", IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 61, no. 3, March 2012, pp. 1084 - 1098.
- [144] G. Caire and S. Shamai (Shitz), "On the achievable throughput of a multiantenna Gaussian broadcast channel," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 49, no. 7, pp. 16911706, July 2003.
- [145] M. Joham, W. Utschick, and J. Nossek, "Linear transmit processing in MIMO communications systems," IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, vol. 53, no. 8, pp. 27002712, Aug. 2005.
- [146] Q. Spencer, A.L. Swindlehurst, and M. Haardt, "Zero-forcing methods for downlink spatial multiplexing in multiuser MIMO channels," IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 462471, Feb. 2004.
- [147] V. Stankovic and M. Haardt, "Generalized design of multiuser MIMO precoding matrices," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 953-961, Mar. 2008.

- [148] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haardt, "Generalized design of low- complexity block diagonalization type precoding algorithms for multiuser MIMO systems", IEEE Trans. Communications, 2013.
- [149] C. Windpassinger, R. Fischer, T. Vencel and J. Huber, "Precoding in multiantenna and multiuser communications," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 3, no. 4, Jul. 2004.
- [150] C.B. Peel, B.M. Hochwald, and A.L. Swindlehurst, A vectorperturbation technique for near capacity multiantenna multiuser communicationpart I: channel inversion and regularization, IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 195202, Jan. 2005.
- [151] M. Biguesh, A.B. Gershman, "Training-based MIMO channel estimation: a study of estimator tradeoffs and optimal training signals", *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 54 no. 3, March 2006.
- [152] S. Verdu, Multiuser Detection, Cambridge, 1998.
- [153] E. Viterbo and J. Boutros, "A universal lattice code decoder for fading channels", *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp.16391642, July 1999.
- [154] M. O. Damen, H. E. Gamal, and G. Caire, On maximum likelihood detection and the search for the closest lattice point, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 49, pp. 23892402, Oct. 2003.
- [155] Z. Guo and P. Nilsson, Algorithm and Implementation of the K-Best Sphere Decoding for MIMO Detection, *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 491503, March 2006.
- [156] C. Studer, A. Burg, and H. Bolcskei, Soft-output sphere decoding: algorithms and VLSI implementation, IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun., vol. 26, pp. 290-300, Feb. 2008.
- [157] B. Shim and I. Kang, On further reduction of complexity in tree pruning based sphere search, *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 417422, Feb. 2010.
- [158] A. Duel-Hallen, "Equalizers for Multiple Input Multiple Output Channels and PAM Systems with Cyclostationary Input Sequences," *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun.*, vol. 10, pp. 630-639, April, 1992.
- [159] G. D. Golden, C. J. Foschini, R. A. Valenzuela and P. W. Wolniansky, "Detection algorithm and initial laboratory results using V-BLAST space-time communication architecture", *Electronics Letters*, vol. 35, No.1, January 1999.
- [160] J. Benesty, Y. Huang, and J. Chen, A fast recursive algorithm for optimum sequential signal detection in a BLAST system, IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, vol. 51, pp. 17221730, July 2003.
- [161] A. Rontogiannis, V. Kekatos, and K. Berberidis," A Square-Root Adaptive V-BLAST Algorithm for Fast Time-Varying MIMO Channels," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, Vol. 13, No. 5, pp. 265-268, May 2006.
- [162] R. Fa, R. C. de Lamare, "Multi-Branch Successive Interference Cancellation for MIMO Spatial Multiplexing Systems", *IET Communications*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 484 - 494, March 2011.
- [163] P. Li, R. C. de Lamare and R. Fa, "Multiple Feedback Successive Interference Cancellation Detection for Multiuser MIMO Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 2434 - 2439, August 2011.

- [164] J. H. Choi, H. Y. Yu, Y. H. Lee, Adaptive MIMO decision feedback equalization for receivers with time-varying channels, *IEEE Trans. Signal Proc.*, 2005, 53, no. 11, pp. 4295-4303.
- [165] C. Windpassinger, L. Lampe, R.F.H. Fischer, T.A Hehn, "A performance study of MIMO detectors," *IEEE Transactions* on Wireless Communications, vol. 5, no. 8, August 2006, pp. 2004-2008.
- [166] Y. H. Gan, C. Ling, and W. H. Mow, Complex lattice reduction algorithm for low-complexity full-diversity MIMO detection, *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 56, no. 7, July 2009.
- [167] K. J. Kim, J. Yue, R. A. Iltis, and J. D. Gibson, "A QRD-M/Kalman filter-based detection and channel estimation algorithm for MIMO-OFDM systems", *IEEE Trans. Wireless Communications*, vol. 4,pp. 710-721, March 2005.
- [168] Y. Jia, C. M. Vithanage, C. Andrieu, and R. J. Piechocki, Probabilistic data association for symbol detection in MIMO systems, *Electron. Lett.*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 3840, Jan. 2006.
- [169] S. Yang, T. Lv, R. Maunder, and L. Hanzo, "Unified Bit-Based Probabilistic Data Association Aided MIMO Detection for High-Order QAM Constellations", *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 981-991, 2011.
- [170] M. K. Varanasi, "Decision feedback multiuser detection: A systematic approach," *IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory*, vol. 45, pp. 219-240, January 1999.
- [171] J. F. Rler and J. B. Huber, "Iterative soft decision interference cancellation receivers for DS-CDMA downlink employing 4QAM and 16QAM," in Proc. 36th Asilomar Conf. Signal, Systems and Computers, Pacific Grove, CA, Nov. 2002.
- [172] J. Luo, K. R. Pattipati, P. K. Willet and F. Hasegawa, "Optimal User Ordering and Time Labeling for Ideal Decision Feedback Detection in Asynchronous CDMA", *IEEE Trans. on Communications*, vol. 51, no. 11, November, 2003.
- [173] G. Woodward, R. Ratasuk, M. L. Honig and P. Rapajic, "Minimum Mean-Squared Error Multiuser Decision-Feedback Detectors for DS-CDMA," *IEEE Trans. on Communications*, vol. 50, no. 12, December, 2002.
- [174] R.C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive MBER decision feedback multiuser receivers in frequency selective fading channels", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 7, no. 2, Feb. 2003, pp. 73 - 75.
- [175] F. Cao, J. Li, and J. Yang, "On the relation between PDA and MMSE-ISDIC," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 14, no. 9, Sep. 2007.
- [176] Y. Cai and R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Space-Time Decision Feedback Detectors with Multiple Feedback Cancellation", *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 58, no. 8, October 2009, pp. 4129 - 4140.
- [177] P. Li and R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Decision-Feedback Detection With Constellation Constraints for MIMO Systems", *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 61, no. 2, 853-859, 2012.
- [178] M. Reuter, J.C. Allen, J. R. Zeidler, R. C. North, "Mitigating error propagation effects in a decision feedback equalizer", *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 49, no. 11, November 2001, pp. 2028 - 2041.

- [179] R.C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, A. Hjorungnes, "Joint iterative interference cancellation and parameter estimation for cdma systems", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 11, no. 12, December 2007, pp. 916 - 918.
- [180] S. Haykin, Adaptive Filter Theory, 4th ed. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice- Hall, 2002.
- [181] C. Berrou and A. Glavieux, "Near optimum error-correcting coding and decoding: Turbo codes," IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 44, Oct. 1996.
- [182] C. Douillard et al., "Iterative correction of intersymbol interference: Turbo equalization," European Trans. Telecommun., vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 507511, Sept.Oct. 1995.
- [183] X. Wang and H. V. Poor, "Iterative (turbo) soft interference cancellation and decoding for coded CDMA," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 47, pp. 10461061, July 1999.
- [184] M. Tuchler, A. Singer, and R. Koetter, "Minimum mean square error equalization using a priori information," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 50, pp. 673-683, Mar. 2002.
- [185] B. Hochwald and S. ten Brink, Achieving near-capacity on a mutliple- antenna channel, *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 51, pp. 389-399, Mar. 2003.
- [186] J. Hou, P. H. Siegel, L. B. Milstein, "Design of multiinput multi-output systems based on low-density Parity-check codes," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 601- 611, April 2005.
- [187] H. Lee, B. Lee, and I. Lee, "Iterative detection and decoding with an improved V-BLAST for MIMO-OFDM Systems, *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 24, pp. 504-513, Mar. 2006.
- [188] J. Wu, H.-N. Lee, "Performance Analysis for LDPC-Coded Modulation in MIMO Multiple-Access Systems," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 1417-1426, July 2007
- [189] X. Yuan, Q. Guo, X. Wang, and Li Ping, "Evolution analysis of low-cost iterative equalization in coded linear systems with cyclic prefixes," *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun. (JSAC)*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 301-310, Feb. 2008.
- [190] J. W. Choi, A. C. Singer, J Lee, N. I. Cho, Improved linear soft-input soft-output detection via soft feedback successive interference cancellation, *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol.58, no.3, pp.986-996, March 2010.
- [191] M. J. Wainwright, T. S. Jaakkola, and A.S. Willsky, "A new class of upper bounds on the log partition function," IEEE Trans. Information Theory, vol. 51, no. 7, pp. 2313 - 2335, July 2005.
- [192] H. Wymeersch, F. Penna and V. Savic, "Uniformly Reweighted Belief Propagation for Estimation and Detection in Wireless Networks," IEEE Trans. Wireless Communications, vol. PP, No. 99, pp. 1-9, Feb. 2012.
- [193] J. Liu, R. C. de Lamare, "Low-Latency Reweighted Belief Propagation Decoding for LDPC Codes," IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 1660-1663, October 2012.
- [194] A. Hakkarainen, J. Werner, K. R. Dandekar and M. Valkama, "Widely-linear beamforming and RF impairment suppression in massive antenna arrays," Journal of Communications and Networks, vol.15, no.4, pp.383,397, Aug. 2013

- [195] T. Adali, P. J. Schreier and L. L. Scharf, "Complex-valued signal processing: the proper way to deal with impropriety", IEEE Trans. Signal Processing, vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 51015125, November 2011.
- [196] N. Song, R. C. de Lamare, M. Haardt, and M. Wolf, "Adaptive Widely Linear Reduced-Rank Interference Suppression based on the Multi-Stage Wiener Filter," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 60, no. 8, 2012.
- [197] R. C. de Lamare, "Massive MIMO Systems: Signal Processing Challenges and Future Trends", URSI Radio Science Bulletin, 2013.
- [198] W. Zhang, H. Ren, C. Pan, M. Chen, R. C. de Lamare, B. Du and J. Dai, "Large-Scale Antenna Systems With UL/DL Hardware Mismatch: Achievable Rates Analysis and Calibration", IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol.63, no.4, pp. 1216-1229, April 2015.
- [199] J. Gu and R. C. de Lamare, "Buffer-aided distributed spacetime coding schemes and algorithms for cooperative DS-CDMA systems", EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking, December 2016.
- [200] S. Vishwanath, N. Jindal and A. J. Goldsmith, "On the capacity of multiple input multiple output broadcast channels," in Proc. IEEE International Conf. Commun. (ICC), New York, USA, Apr. 2002, pp. 1444-1450.